	ः आयुक्त (अपील-l) का कार्यालय केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क : सैन्टल एक्साइज भवन, सातवीं मंजिल, पौलिटैक्नीक के पास,
	31 de la 1900 de la 19
क	फाइल संख्या : File No : V2(DÉCOR)8/STC-III/2016/Appeal-I
ख	अपील आदेश संख्या : Order-In-Appeal No.: <u>AHM-EXCUS-003-APP-224-16-17</u> दिनाँक Date <u>25.01.2017</u> जारी करने की तारीख Date of Issue
	श्री उमाशंकर , आयुक्त (अपील-I) केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अहमदाबाद द्वारा पारित
	Passed by Shri Uma Shankar Commissioner (Appeals-I) Central Excise Ahmedabad
ग	आयुक्त केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क, अहमदाबाद- आयुक्तालय द्वारा जारी मूल आदेश सं दिनाँक : से सृजित
,	Arising out of Order-in-Original No 257/SUPDT/STR-MEH/2016 dated 28.01.2016 Issued by:

Superintendent, Central Excise, Din: Mehsana, A'bad-III.

अपीलकर्ता / प्रतिवादी का नाम एवं पता Name & Address of The Appellants/Respondents

M/s. Ganesh Mandap Decorator

इस अपील आदेश से असंतुष्ट कोई भी व्यक्ति उचित प्राधिकारी को अपील निम्नलिखित प्रकार से कर सकता है:— Any person aggrieved by this Order-in-Appeal may file an appeal to the appropriate authority in the following way :-

सीमा शुल्क, उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को अपील:--Appeal to Customs Central Excise And Service Tax Appellate Tribunal :-

वित्तीय अधिनियम,1994 की धारा 86 के अंतर्गत अपील को निम्न के पास की जा सकती:— Under Section 86 of the Finance Act 1994 an appeal lies to :-

पश्चिम क्षेत्रीय पीठ सीमा शुल्क, उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण ओ.20, न्यू मैन्टल हास्पिटल कम्पाउण्ड, मेधाणी नगर, अहमदाबाद—380016

The West Regional Bench of Customs, Excise, Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) at O-20, Meghani Nagar, New Mental Hospital Compound, Ahmedabad – 380 016.

- (ii) अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को वित्तीय अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 86 (1) के अंतर्गत अपील सेवाकर नियमावली, 1994 के नियम 9(1)के अंतर्गत निर्धारित फार्म एस.टी— 5 में चार प्रतियों में की जा सकेगी एवं उसके साथ जिस आदेश के विरुद्ध अपील की गई हो उसकी प्रतियों भेजी जानी चाहिए (उनमें से एक प्रमाणित प्रति होगी) और साथ में जिस स्थान में न्यायाधिकरण का न्यायपीठ स्थित है, वहाँ के नामित सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र बैंक के न्यायपीठ के सहायक रिजस्ट्रार के नाम से रेखांकित बैंक ड्राफ्ट के रूप में जहाँ सेवाकर की मांग, ब्याज की मांग ओर लगाया गया जुर्माना रूपए 5 लाख या उससे कम है वहां रूपए 1000/— फीस भेजनी होगी। जहाँ सेवाकर की मांग, ब्याज की मांग, ब्याज की मांग ओर लगाया गया जुर्माना रूपए 5 लाख या उपराच गया जुर्माना रूपए 50 लाख या उससे ज्यादा है वहां रूपए 1000/— फीस भेजनी होगी।
- (ii) The appeal under sub section (1) of Section 86 of the Finance Act 1994 to the Appellate Tribunal Shall be filed in quadruplicate in Form S.T.5 as prescribed under Rule 9(1) of the Service Tax Rules 1994 and Shall be accompanied by a copy of the order appealed against (one of which shall be certified copy) and should be accompanied by a fees of Rs. 1000/- where the amount of service tax & interest demanded & penalty levied of Rs. 5 Lakhs or less, Rs.5000/- where the amount of service tax & interest demanded & penalty levied is is more than five lakhs but not exceeding Rs. Fifty Lakhs, Rs.10,000/- where the amount of service tax & interest demanded & penalty levied is more than fifty Lakhs rupees, in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of the Assistant Registrar of the bench of nominated Public Sector Bank of the place where the bench of Tribunal is situated.



- (iii) वित्तीय अधिनियम,1994 की धारा 86 की उप—धारा (2ए) के अंतर्गत अपील सेवाकर नियमावली, 1994 के नियम 9 (2ए) के अंतर्गत निर्धारित फार्म एस.टी.७ में की जा सकेगी एवं उसके साथ आयुक्त, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क / आयुक्त, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क (अपील) के आदेश की प्रतियाँ (उसमें से प्रमाणित प्रति होगी) और आयुक्त / सहायक आयुक्त अथवा उप आयुक्त, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क, अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को आवेदन करने के निदेश देते हुए सीमा एवं केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क बोर्ड / आयुक्त, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क द्वारा पारित आदेश की प्रति भेजनी होगी।
- (iii) The appeal under sub section and (2A) of the section 86 the Finance Act 1994, shall be filed in For ST.7 as prescribed under Rule 9 & (2A) of the Service Tax Rules, 1994 and shall be accompanied by a copy of order of Commissioner Central Excise or Commissioner, Central Excise (Appeals) (one of which shall be a certified copy) and copy of the order passed by the Central Board of Excise & Customs / Commissioner or Dy. Commissioner of Central Excise to apply to the Appellate Tribunal.
- 2. यथासंशोधित न्यायालय शुल्क अधिनियम, 1975 की शर्तो पर अनुसूची—1 के अंतर्गत निर्धारित किए अनुसार मूल आदेश एवं स्थगन प्राधिकारी के आदेश की प्रति पर रू 6.50/— पैसे का न्यायालय शुल्क टिकट लगा होना चाहिए।
- 2. One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjuration authority shall bear a court fee stamp of Rs.6.50 paise as prescribed under Schedule-I in terms of the Court Fee Act,1975, as amended.
- 3. सीमा शुल्क, उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्यविधि) नियमावली, 1982 में चर्चित एवं अन्य संबंधित मामलों को सम्मिलित करने वाले नियमों की ओर भी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है।
- 3. Attention is also invited to the rules covering these and other related matters contained in the Customs, Excise and Service Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.
- 4. सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय प्राधिकरण (सीस्तेत) के प्रति अपीलों के मामलों में केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधिनियम, १९४४ की धारा ३५फ के अंतर्गत वित्तीय(संख्या-२) अधिनियम २०१४(२०१४ की संख्या २५) दिनांक: ०६.०८.२०१४ जो की वित्तीय अधिनियम, १९९४ की धारा ८३ के अंतर्गत सेवाकर को भी लागू की गई है, द्वारा निश्चित की गई पूर्वराशि जमा करना अनिवार्य है, बशर्ते कि इस धारा के अंतर्गत जमा की जाने वाली अपेक्षित देय राशि दस करोड़ रूपए से अधिक न हो केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर के अंतर्गत "माँग किए गए शुल्क " में निम्न शामिल है
 - (i) धारा 11 डी के अंतर्गत निर्धारित रकम
 - (ii) सेनवैट जमा की ली गई गलत राशि
 - (iii) सेनवैट जमा नियमावली के नियम 6 के अंतर्गत देय रकम

→ आगे बशर्ते यह कि इस धारा के प्रावधान वित्तीय (सं. 2) अधिनियम, 2014 के आरम्भ से पूर्व किसी अपीलीय प्राधिकारी के समक्ष विचाराधीन स्थगन अर्ज़ी एवं अपील को लागू नहीं होगे।

4. For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, it is mandatory to pre-deposit an amount specified under the Finance (No. 2) Act, 2014 (No. 25 of 2014) dated 06.08.2014, under section 35F of the Central Excise Act, 1944 which is also made applicable to Service Tax under section 83 of the Finance Act, 1994 provided the amount of pre-deposit payable would be subject to ceiling of Rs. Ten Crores,

Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty demanded" shall include:

- (i) amount determined under Section 11 D;
- (ii) amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken;
- (iii) amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules.

→ Provided further that the provisions of this Section shall not apply to the stay application and appeals pending before any appellate authority prior to the commencement of the Finance (No.2) Act, 2014.

(4)(i) ...इस आदेश के प्रति अपील प्राधिकरण के समक्ष जहाँ शुल्क अथवा शुल्क या दण्ड विवादित हो तो माँग किए गए शुल्क के 10% भुगतान पर और जहाँ केवल दण्ड विवादित हो तब दण्ड के 10% भुगतान पर की जा सकती है।

(4)(i) In view of above, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on payment of 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute, or penalty, where penalty alone is in dispute."

ORODER-IN-APPEAL

This appeal has been filed by M/s Gansh Mandap Decorators, 77, Nilkanth Society, Opp. Rajkamal Petrol Pump, Mehsana, Gujarat (for brevity-'the appellant") against order-in-original No.257/Supdt/STR-Meh/2016 dated 28.01.2016 (hereinafter referred to as "impugned order") passed by the Superintendent of Service Tax Range, Mehsana Division (hereinafter referred to as 'the adjudicating authority").

- 2. A show cause notice dated 11.03.2013 was issued to the appellant for non-filing of ST-3 returns for the period from April 2012 to June 2012, by proposing penalty in terms of Rule 7 of Service Tax Rules, 1994 read with Section 70 of the Finance Act, 1994. Vide the impugned order, the adjudicating authority has imposed penalty of Rs.20,000/-. Being aggrieved, the appellant has filed the instant appeal on the grounds that the impugned order is patently against law, contrary to the facts on record and the imposition of penalty is required to be set aside; that they had filed application for cancellation of service tax registration vide letter dated 27.04.2005.
- 3. Personal hearing in the matter was held on 04.01.2017 and Shri Atul V Kavalanekar, Advocate appeared on behalf of the appellant. He reiterated the grounds of appeal and submitted that the appellant has surrendered their service tax registration on 27.04.2015, hence not required to file any ST-3 return thereafter.
- 4. I have considered the facts of the case and submissions made by the appellant in the appeal memorandum. The limited issue to be decided in the instant case is relating to imposition of penalty for non filing of ST-3 returns during April 2012 to June 2012.
- As per provisions of Section 70, every person liable to pay service tax, shall himself assess the tax due on the service provided by him and shall furnish a return in the prescribed format before the concerned authority. As per amended Rule 7 of the Service Tax Rules, 1994, form ST-3 required to be submitted by the 25th October 2012 shall cover the period from 1st April 2012 to 30th June 2012. Rule 7C of the Service Tax Rules 1994 stipulates penalty for non-filing of prescribed ST-3 returns in time. The statute prescribes that from the date prescribed for submission of ST-3 return, rupees five hundred for the delay of fifteen days, one thousand rupees for beyond fifteen days but not later than thirty days and beyond thirty days, an amount of rupees one thousand plus hundred rupees every day. The provisions to the said Rules provides the total amount payable in terms of the said rule, for delayed submissions of return, shall not exceed the amount specified in Section 70 of the Finance Act. i.e Rs.20,000/-.
- 6. In the instant case, the appellant has argued that they had surrendered their service tax registration vide letter dated 27.04.2005. A copy of the said letter has submitted by them along with the appeal. On perusal, I observe that the appellant had surrendered their service tax registration No.AHD-III/MEH-1/MAK/1221 dated 28.02.2014 on the grounds that their turnover of taxable income is very much below of the exemption limit. However, vide the



impugned order, the penalty was imposed for non filing of ST-3 return against registration No.ABHPP7321HST001. As per Board's circular No.97/8/2007-ST dated 23.08.2007, no ST-3 return is required to be filed if a person who are not liable pay service tax because of an exemption including turnover based exemption. The said circular states as under:-

- The service tax return is required to be filed under Section 70 of the Act read with rule 7 of the Rules, by "any person liable to pay the service tax". This return is required to be filed on a half yearly basis, in Form ST-3. For the periods from April to September and October to March, it must be filed by the 25th October and the 25th April respectively. Further, 'Input Service Distributor' is also required to file this return. Persons who are not liable to pay service tax (because of an exemption including turnover based exemption), are not required to file ST-3 return."
- 7. From the above, it is very much clear that no ST-3 return is required to be filed by an assessee, if he is availing turnover based exemption. In the instant case, it is fact that the appellant has surrendered his registration on 27.04.2005 due to the reason that their clearance value is below exemption limit. In the circumstances, the penalty imposed by the adjudicating authority is not proper and correct. Further, the statute itself provides the adjudicating authority to waive the penalty if the service tax payable on gross amount is nil. However, I observe that the adjudicating authority has not looked into the facts and circumstances of case under which the appellant was not filing the return, while imposing the penalty. Further, though the Board's above referred circular and Rule 7 C of Service Tax Rules empowered the adjudicating authority to waive the penalty in certain circumstances as discussed above, he had not done so. Therefore, looking into the facts and circumstances, the penalty imposed is required to be set aside and I do so.
- In view of above discussion, I set aside the impugned order and allow the appeal. अपीलकर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपीलों का निपटारा उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है। The appeal filed by the appellant stand disposed of in above terms. CHIB! W

(उमा शंकर)

आयुक्त (अपील्स - I)

Date: 25/01/2017

Attested

(Mohanan V Superintendent (Appeal-I)

Central Excise, Ahmedabad

BY R.P.A.D.

To

M/s Gansh Mandap Decorators,

77, Nilkanth Society, Opp. Rajkamal Petrol Pump, Mehsana, Gujarat

Copy to:- 1. The Chief Commissioner of Central Excise, Ahmedabad.

- - 2. The Commissioner of Central Excise, Ahmedabad-III
 - 3. The Additional Commissioner, Central Excise (System), Ahmedabad-III
 - The Assistant Commissioner, Central Excise, Mehsana Division.
 - Guard file. 6. P.A.